Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/087,633	YANO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susan Hanley	1651	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>After 1st action</u>		
(1) Examiner Susan Hanley.	(3)		
(2) Attorney Richard Barth.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 11 May 2004	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Fyes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed:			
1-8, 13-19, 21, 22, 24, 25, 27, 28, 30, 31 and 33-42		:	
Prior art documents discussed:	•		
None		·	
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
Ex. Hanley informed Attorney Barth that the amendment and do of record. Att. Barth agreed to the cancellation of non-elected c			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summation 	ne examiner will provide a writ	ten summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
JM l2			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative S	signature – if appropriate)	